Investment Performance on the HP-12C

Tony Hutchins, #1049

Peter O. Dietz was an American investment analyst who wrote a book in 1966 about the investment performance of pension funds. He died in 1990 and since then his name has been associated with performance measures. In June 2006 www.hpmuseum.org was asked for a "Modified Dietz" program for the 12C. That is what lines 1-38 do below. For *single* modified Dietz returns it could finish at *line 30*(see Appendix). For n=0 or 1 lines 39-61 do the so-called pure "time weighted" rate of return (*TWRR*), which does not involve any explicit time input at all. It does however require a market valuation just before (n=0) or just after (n=1) each and every cashflow point. For n=.5, the same lines do the "midpoint Dietz" method, also called the "original Dietz" method which is none other than the old "200I/(A+B-I)" method (see Datafile V24N5P33). The first 17 lines create a (daily product)/100 in R₂ - this *could* be used to calculate the interest amount charged on a bank loan: e.g. the interest may be $i\%/365*R_2$ (RCL i RCL 2 × 365 \div) or, input the interest amount and RCL 2 \div 365 × to calculate an i% p.a. The sign convention here is that deposits are positive, and withdrawals negative.

Keystrokes	Display	Keystrokes	Display	Keystrokes	Display
f P/R		STO + 3	21-44 40 3	RCL	43-45 11
f CLEAR PRGM	00-	9 GTO 06	22-43,33 06	X	44- 20
RCL 0	01-45 0	R/S	23- 31	—	45- 30
0	02- 0	RCL 3	24-45 3	RCLFV	46-45 15
STO 1	03-44 1	_	25- 30	9 LSTx	47-43 36
STO2	04-44 2	STO + 3	26-44403	—	48- 30
9 DATE	05-43 16	RCL 2	27-45 2	Δ%	49- 24
R/S	06- 31	÷	28- 10	R/S	50- 31
0	07- 0	RCL 1	29-45 1	RCLi	51-45 12
9 DATE	08-43 16	X	30- 20	%	52- 25
RCL 0	09-45 0	R/S	31- 31	9 LSTx	53-43 36
X≥Y	10- 34	RCLi	32-45 12	$\left(+\right)$	54- 40
STO 0	11-44 0	%	33- 25	$\left(+\right)$	55- 40
g ΔDYS	12-43 26	9 LSTx	34-43 36	i	56- 12
9 PSE	13-43 31	$\left +\right $	35- 40	RCL FV	57-45 15
STO + 1	14-44 40 1	$\left(+\right)$	36- 40	PV	58- 13
RCL 3	15-45 3	i	37- 12	RCLi	59-45 12
%	16- 25	9 GTO 00	38-43,3300	R/S	60- 31
STO + 2	17- 44 40 2	RCLPV	39-45 13	g GTO 39	61-43,33 39
R/S	18- 31	RCL PMT	40-45 14	f P/R	
g x=0	19-43 35	$\left(+\right)$	41- 40	This should a	also work on a
9 GTO 23	20-43,3323	9 LSTx	42-43 36	12cp in RPN ı	mode.

	6	5 1		
Date $(D.MY)(1)$	Cashflow (2)	MarketValue (3)	MarketValue (4)	Cashflow (5)
31.122005	0	1,000	1,000	
15.012006	100		1,010	
15.022006	150		1,120	450
15.032006	200		1,300	
31.032006	0	1,600	1,600	
15.042006	100		1,650	
15.052006	150		1,800	450
15.062006	200		1,900	
30.062006	0	2,000	2,000	

In the following example data columns (3) and (5) show the less detailed data used in some methods. Fund managers cannot always provide the detail in column (4).

Market values here *exclude* cashflow on the same date so n=0 for the pure TWRR.

Program	Start Line	Data Cols.	Initialization. 0 i
Modified Dietz	0	1,2 and 3	31.122005 STO 0 1000 STO 3 R/S
Pure TWRR	39	2 and 4	0 n 1000 PV 0 PMT 1010 FV
Midpoint Dietz	39	3 and 5	0.5 N 1000 PV 450 PMT 1600 FV

Modified Dietz: R_1 =accumulated days, R_3 =balance. At an *interest calculation point* use a *zero cashflow* and input the market value at the next $\mathbb{R/S}$. At the start of *each* new period the extra $\mathbb{R/S}$ is required for initialisation. As a check, pauses show the *day of the week* for each date, and the *days between dates*. Bad date input $\mathbb{R/S}$ can be *undone* with $\mathbb{9}$ GTO07, input previous date $\mathbb{R/S}$ $\mathbb{9}$ GTO07.

Modified Dietz. 9 D.MY 0 i f PRGM	Pure TWRR 0 n 0 i
31.122005 STO 0 1000 STO 3 R/S → 31.12	1000 PV 0 PMT 1010 FV
15.012006 R/S → 150.00 100 R/S → 100.00	g GTO 39 R/S →1.00 R/S →1.00
15.022006 R/S → 341.00 150 R/S → 150.00	100 PMT 1120 FV R/S → 0.90 R/S → 1.91
15.032006 R/S → 350.00 200 R/S → 200.00	$150 \text{PMT} 1300 \text{FV} \text{R/S} \rightarrow 2.36 \text{R/S} \rightarrow 4.32$
31.032006 R/S → 232.00 0 R/S → 0.00	$200 \text{PMT} 1600 \text{FV} \text{R/S} \rightarrow 6.67 \text{R/S} \rightarrow 11.27$
$1600 \text{ R/S} \rightarrow \textbf{12.58} \text{ R/S} \rightarrow 12.58 \text{ R/S} \rightarrow 31.03$	$0 \text{PMT} 1650 \text{FV} \text{R/S} \rightarrow 3.13 \text{R/S} \rightarrow 14.75$
15.042006 R/S →240.00 100 R/S →100.00	$100 \text{PMT} 1800 \text{FV} \text{R/S} \rightarrow 2.86 \text{R/S} \rightarrow 18.03$
15.052006 R/S → 510.00 150 R/S → 150.00	$150 \text{PMT} 1900 \text{FV} \text{R/S} \rightarrow -2.56 \text{R/S} \rightarrow 15.00$
15.062006 R/S → 573.50 200 R/S → 200.00	$200[PMT]2000[FV](R/S) \rightarrow -4.76[R/S] \rightarrow 9.52$
	Note how the answers in bold (1 and 2 qtr.
2000 ^{R/S} →-2.79 ^{R/S} → 9.44	period returns) vary slightly for each method.

Midpoint Dietz: 0.5 n 0 i 1000 PV 450 PMT 1600 FV 9 GTO 39 R/S \rightarrow 12.24 R/S \rightarrow 12.24. 450 PMT 2000 FV R/S \rightarrow -2.74 R/S \rightarrow 9.17. Quick! *Linking* the Dietz *MWRR* (money weighted rates of return) creates a *pseudo* TWRR only. *Pure* TWRR is the ideal as it is a market like return on a single lump sum, and is perfect for *comparison* with *market indices*, which were pioneered as performance benchmarks by Frank Russell, which was where Peter Dietz was working when he wrote his book. An *investor's* individual return also depends on cashflow timing which is precisely what the pure TWRR excludes.

What in *investor* wants to know is the IRR on his account as this can be compared with an alternative savings account return. Fund managers attempt to calculate a TWRR but GIPS (Global Investment Performance Standards) strangely still allows the Dietz MWRR to be linked to make a hybrid MW/TWRR. At the *investor* level however, the Dietz MWRR is a fairly reliable guess of the IRR - providing the fund does not more than say double or halve in size. The first 12 lines of the following code do a simple Dietz for n=.5. n is the fraction of the period when the cashflow is deemed to occur. E.g. .5 n 10000 PV 12000 FV 1500 PMT R/S \rightarrow 4.65%. n=0 corresponds to cashflow at the beginning of the period, and n=1 at the end. $0 \mid \mathbb{R/S} \rightarrow 4.35\%$, $1 \mid \mathbb{R/S} \rightarrow 5.00\%$. Actually this shows us another way - just use TVM, twice: $1 [n] 12000 [CHS] [FV] [g] [BEG] [i] \rightarrow 4.35 [g] [END] [i] \rightarrow 5.00 [c]$ +2 \div \rightarrow 4.67. This is close enough to the 4.65. 4.65 is theoretically the *harmonic* mean of the 5.00 and 4.35. These 8 lines convert X and Y to their harmonic mean in X and the arithmetic mean in Y: % [X \ge Y] [9] [LSTx] + 2 ÷ [X \ge Y] [%T]. This way we see the *range* of returns (extreme "time weighted" returns) as well as the harmonic midpoint return. We have rhythm and harmony :-)

Keystrokes	Display		Keystrokes	Display		Keystrokes	Display	
f P/R			RCLi	13-45	12	RCL PMT	27-45	14
f CLEAR PRGM	00-		RCL PMT	14-45	14	RCL	28-45	11
RCLPV	01-45	13	X	15-	20	X	29-	20
RCL PMT	02-45	14	9 LSTx	16-43	36	—	30-	30
+	03-	40	RCLPV	17-45	13	9 LSTx	31-43	36
RCL	04-45	11	+	18-	40	X≷Y	32-	34
9 LSTx	05-43	36	RCLi	19-45	12	RCL PV	33-45	13
X	06-	20	%	20-	25	+	34-	40
_	07 -	30	+	21-	40	RCLi	35-45	12
RCLFV	08-45	15	RCLFV	22-45	15	%	36-	25
g LSTx	09-43	36	_	23-	30	+	37-	40
_	10-	30	%T	24-	23	+	38-	40
Δ%	11-	24	9 GTO 00	25- 43 ,3	3 00	9 GTO 00	39-43,3	3 00
9 GTO 00	12-43,3	3 00	RCLPMT	26-45	14	f P/R		

Sign conventions: PMT you deposit is positive. PV is positive (considered a deposit). FV is also positive here - but negative if TVM or IRR used *directly*.

1+i=(FV-f*PMT)/(PV+(1-f)*PMT), where f is the fraction in n. Only the first 12 lines are really necessary but it can be useful to resolve for f or FV:

f=((PV+PMT)*(1+i)-FV)/i/PMT solves for f, [9]GTO13 [R/S]

FV=(PV+(1-f)*PMT)*(1+i)+f*PMT solves for FV, gGTO26 R/S

If f is not a positive fraction then something is wrong with the input. Finally:

PV=(FV-PMT(1+(1-f)*i))/(1+i) & PMT=(FV-PV*(1+i))/(1+(1-f)*i).

Appendix: Two Modified Dietz Programs.

Date	Balance	Date	Deposit	Date	Withdl.	Date	Deposit		
12.312004	\$10,000	3.312005	\$1,000	6.302005	-\$500	9.302005	\$1,000		
12.312005	\$12,000	From www.	From www.usatoday.com "Ask Matt" money column (Feb.06)						

The source of the above example is accompanied by a 12C IRR calculation.

First program

Works for *any number* of cashflows (the example above has only 3). The weekday is shown for each date - a 6 or 7 means a weekend (e.g. 12.312005), which would generally be suspect. Also the days traversed in each period are shown - see the example below. Bad date input, [R/S] can be immediately *undone* with [9] GTO 07, input *previous date* [R/S] [9] GTO 07, and now input the correct date and [R/S].

Cash flows can be input out of order, however the display is more meaningful if they are input in strict order. The last date *must* however be input last (along with the zero cash flow signifying, to the program $\langle G \rangle$, it is the last date). Here the final value is stored in FV as part of the initialisation.

Keystrokes	Display		Keystrokes	Display	Keystrokes	Display
f P/R			X≷Y	10- 34	STO + 3	21- 44 40 3
f CLEAR PRGM	00-		STO 0	11-44 0	g GTO 06	22-43,33 06
RCL 0	01-45	0	gΔDYS	12-43 26	RCLFV	23-45 15
0	02-	0	9 PSE	13-43 31	RCL 3	24-45 3
STO 1	03-44	1	STO + 1	14- 44 40 1	_	25- 30
STO 2	04-44	2	RCL 3	15-45 3	RCL 2	26-45 2
9 DATE	05-43	16	%	16- 25	÷	27- 10
R/S	06-	31	ST0 + 2	17- 44 40 2	RCL 1	28-45 1
0	07 -	0	R/S	18- 31	X	29- 20
9 DATE	08-43	16	g x =0	19-43 35	g GTO 00	30- 43,33 00
RCL 0	09-45	0	g GTO 23	20-43,3323	f P/R	

Items in quotes are displayed momentarily.

3.312005 R/S → "3,3	31,2005 4"	"90"	9,000.00	1000 R/S →1,000.00
6.302005 R/S → "6,3	30,2005 4"	"91"	10,010.00	500[CHS] R/S]→-500.00
9.302005 R/S → "9,3	30,2005 5"	"92"	9,660.00	1000 R/S→1,000.00
12.312005 R/S →"1	2,31,2005 6"	"92"	10,580.00	$0 \ R/S \rightarrow 4.649682 \ (ans)$
,	Total days \rightarrow	365	39,250.00	← total interest at 1%/day

Only 4 numbered registers are used, and one financial register (FV).

 \mathbb{RCL} 0 \rightarrow 12.312005, the final date. \mathbb{RCL} 1 \rightarrow 365, total of days traversed.

RCL $2 \rightarrow 39,250.00$ total of daily products (interest at the high rate of 1% per day).

 \mathbb{RCL} 3 \rightarrow 11,500.00 the initial balance plus the total of the cash flows.

To get ready for the next period, first we could store the answer in \boxed{i} , and then:

RCL FV STO3, input new final value **FV R/S** \rightarrow "12,31,2005 6", and away we go.

Second program

All data is *pre-stored* and the number of cash flows is limited. On the 12C the 41 line program means 15 registers are free (refer Datafile V23N2pp9-10). Data for 7 dates can be stored (dates in $R_0, R_2, ..., R_2$, corresponding amounts in $R_1, R_3, ..., R_3=0$) so we have room for 5 cash flows besides the opening and closing balances. A 99 line program would leave room for just one cash flow. The stored data is not changed so error correction is easy - just change the stored data and re-run.

Keystrokes	Display		Keystrokes	Display		Keystrokes	Display	
f P/R			X≥Y	14-	34	+	29-	40
f CLEAR PRGM	00-		gΔDYS	15-43	26	PMT	30-	14
CLx	01- 3	35	RCL PMT	16-45	14	g GTO 06	31-43,3	3 06
PV	02- 3	13	%	17-	25	RCL 0	32-45	0
STOn	03-44	11	RCLPV	18-45	13	RCL 9 CFj	33-45,4	3 14
RCL 1	04-45	1	+	19-	40	g ΔDYS	34-43	26
PMT	05- 3	14	PV	20-	13	RCL FV	35-45	15
RCL	06-45	11	RCL	21-45	11	RCL PMT	36-45	14
2	07-	2	4	22-	4	_	37-	30
+	08- 4	40	+	23-	40	X	38-	20
n	09- 3	11	n	24-	11	RCL PV	39-45	13
RCL 9 CFj	10-45,43	14	RCL 9 CFj	25-45,4	3 14	÷	40-	10
RCL 9 CFj	11-45,43	14	g x=0	26-43	35	g GTO 00	41-43,3	3 00
R↓	12- 3	33	9 GTO 32	27-43,3	3 32	f P/R		
	13- 45,43			28-45				

The example can be done as follows: **f PRGM g M.DY**

12.312004 9 CFo 10000 9 CFj	3.312005 9 CFj 1000 9 CFj
6.302005 9 CFi 500 CHS 9 CFi	9.302005 9 CFi 1000 9 CFi
12.312005 9 CFi 0 9 CFi	12000 FV R/S→4.649682 (ans)

The "running" time here is 22 seconds on the 12C and only 4 seconds on the new 12cp! Total days are not stored. PV holds the daily product and PMT holds the accumulated balance. In is used to access the data. i is kept free. 3 lines could be added to show progress:0 9 DATE after line 10, and 9 PSE after line 15. As before the last "cashflow" is input as zero to *signal* that the date is the last date and the final value is stored in FV. On the first 12cp (with under 240 program lines) we could store 13 cashflows for the period - on the new 12cp we can store 38 - useful for checking the monthly interest rate on a revolving mortgage which doubles as a cheque account, after a busy month. Cashflows on the same day can be aggregated or input separately with the same date. At last we have found a *great application for the new 12cp!* So, the above applies equally to a loan or a savings account where simple interest is used to calculate interest *rate calculation*, something bankers have been doing for centuries! Such is the mystique of finance, knowing all 13 names for each process (after John Ball).

Second program *plus daily IRR calculation* for 12c platinum.

Matt Krantz had the great idea of making the 12C do the *daily* IRR, in addition to the daily simple return. The second program has been modified to store the daily simple return (in PV) and the total days (in PMT). For IRR the data must be in strict date order, with no duplicate dates - payments on the same day *must* be pre-totalled. If there are more than 99 days without a cashflow then a dummy tiny cashflow of E-99 (i.e. EEX99CHS) should be input to keep the sub periods under 100 days. Start with f CLEAR REG and after finding the simple return as before: just 9 GTO 048 R/S \rightarrow 0.012459(IRR). The original data is now *all* overwritten! The effective rate *for the period* is: 1 RCL i % + RCL PMT y^{x} 1 $x \ge y$ $\Delta\% \rightarrow 4.652143\%$.

Keystrokes	Display	Keystrokes	Display	Keystrokes	Display
f P/R		RCL 9 CFj	028,45,43 14	RCL 9 CFj	057, 45,43 14
f CLEAR PRGM	000,	g x =0	029,43 35	RCL 9 CFj	058, 45,43 14
CLx	001, 35	9 GTO 035	030,43,33,035	X≥Y	059, 34
PV	002, 13	RCLPMT	031,45 14	RCL 9 CFj	060, 45,43 14
STON	003,44 11	+	032, 40	X≥Y	061, 34
RCL 1	004,45 1	PMT	033, 14	g ΔDYS	062,43 26
PMT	005, 14	9 GTO 006	034,43,33,006	X≥Y	063, 34
RCL	006,45 11	RCL 0	035,45 0	R↓	064, 33
2	007, 2	RCL 9 CFj	036,45,4314	X≥Y	065, 34
+	008, 40	g ΔDYS	037,43 26	9 CFj	066,43 14
n	009, 11	RCLFV	038,45 15	CLx	067, 35
RCL 9 CFj	010,45,43 14	RCL PMT	039,45 14	9 CFj	068,43 14
0	011, 0	—	040, 30	R↓	069, 33
9 DATE	012,43 16	RCLPV	041,45 13	1	070, 1
RCL 9 CFj	013,45,43 14	÷	042, 10	_	071, 30
R↓	014, 33	PV	043, 13	g Nj	072,43 15
RCL 9 CFj	015,45,43 14	X≥Y	044, 34	RCL	073,45 11
X≥Y	016, 34	PMT	045, 14	2	074, 2
g ΔDYS	017,43 26	X	046, 20	+	075, 40
9 PSE	018,43 31	g GTO 000	047,43,33,000	n	076, 11
RCL PMT	019,45 14	1	048, 1	9 GTO 050	077,43,33,050
%	020, 25	n	049, 11	RCL 9 CFj	078,45,4314
RCLPV	021,45 13	RCL 9 CFj	050,45,43 14	RCLFV	079,45 15
+	022, 40	g x=0	051,43 35	CHS	080, 16
PV	023, 13	g GTO 078	052,43,33,078	g CFj	081,43 14
RCL	024,45 11	RCL	053,45 11	RCLPV	082,45 13
4	025, 4	2	054, 2	RCL 9 R/S	083,45,43 31
+	026, 40	+	055, 40	9 GTO 000	084,43,33,000
n	027, 11	n	056, 11	f P/R	The End.
RCL PV (0.012	2739) RCL PM	TX→4.64968	32% recovers	the original	simple return.

This program, with up to 38 cashflows is an ideal use for the new 12CPA .

Daily IRR calculation for the HP-12C.

We adopt a different paradigm - converting the timed cashflows *directly into the IRR format* with a program - lines 1-21 below. The FV is now considered as a withdrawal, signwise. Nice and short! It runs like this: <u>f PRGM g M.DY</u>

C.Flow		Date		Date with DOW	days	IRR n
12000	ENTER	12.312004	$R/S \rightarrow$			0.00
1000	ENTER	3.312005	$R/S \rightarrow$	"3,31,2005 4"	"90"	2.00
500 CHS	ENTER	6.302005	$R/S \rightarrow$	"6,30,2005 4"	"91"	4.00
1000	ENTER	9.302005	$R/S \rightarrow$	"9,30,2005 5"	"92"	6.00
12000 CHS	ENTER	12.312005	$R/S \rightarrow$	"12,31,2005 6"	"92"	8.00

f IRR $\rightarrow 0.012459$ (25 sec. on 12C, 4 sec. on new 12cpt- but on the new 12cpt we need to either clear regs before starting or add 2 more lines to ensure Nj=1 when amounts are stored.) RCL PV RCL PMT 9 ΔDYS PMT $\rightarrow 365$, and as before, 1 RCL i % + RCL PMT $y^{x}1 \times y \Delta \% \rightarrow 4.652143\%$. If there are more than 99 days without a cashflow then a *zero* cashflow can now be used. The dates are lost, converted to zero CFj with Nj in days, but we can still extract the modified Dietz *directly from the IRR data* with: 9 GTO 22 R/S $\rightarrow .012739$ and RCL PMT $\times \rightarrow 4.649682\%$ recovers the original simple return. This program can be enhanced to cope with repeating non-zero cashflows by inserting 8 lines after line 32 (new lines 33-40): 9 LSTx \times 9 LSTx $+ 2 \div +$, adding Nj(Nj+1)/2 to the previous PMT (a day count), before multiplying by CFj. Our examples don't require the 8 extra as CFj=0 when Nj>1. The final CFj needs to have Nj=1.

Keystrokes	Display		Keystrokes	Display		Keystrokes	Display	
f P/R			g Nj	17-43	15	$\left +\right $	35-	40
f CLEAR PRGM	00-		R↓	18-	33	RCL	36-45	11
PV	01-	13	R↓	19-	33	1	37-	1
STO PMT	02-44	14	9 CFj	20-43	14	$\left(+\right)$	38-	40
R↓	03-	33	9 GTO 05	21-43,3	3 05	g x =0	39-43	35
9 CFo	04-43	13	RCL	22-45	11	9 GTO 43	40-43,3	33 43
RCL	05-45	11	PV	23-	13	R↓	41-	33
R/S	06-	31	RCL 9 CFj	24-45,4	3 14	9 GTO 28	42-43,3	33 28
0	07 -	0	CLx	25-	35	i	43-	12
g CF _j	08-43	14	PMT	26-	14	RCL PV	44-45	13
9 DATE	09-43	16	ENTER	27 -	36	n	45-	11
RCL	10-45	14	RCL PMT	28-45	14	f NPV	46-42	13
X≷Y	11-	34	RCL PMT	29-45	14	CHS	47 -	16
PMT	12-	14	RCL 9 Nj	30- 45 ,4	3 15	%T	48-	23
g ΔDYS	13-43	26	+	31-	40	9 GTO 00	49-43,3	33 00
9 PSE	14-43	31	PMT	32-	14	f P/R		
1	15-	1	RCL 9 CFj	33- 45 ,4	3 14			
	16-	30	X	34-	20			

It is interesting how this gets a quick *initial guess* for the IRR. Here is how it looks, stand alone on the HP-12C, with the extra 8 lines. It is only 36 lines, and I found it quite hard to write. There are so few free resources once IRR takes over all numbered registers, including possibly FV, and also uses \square . Instead of accumulating the non-final CF_{i} as before (I could have used i for that) I just do an NPV with i=0 to get the interest. PV is needed just to preserve \square , and PMT is used to remember the duration backwards from the last CF_{i} . The daily product is kept in the stack - at line 9 the stack is full to the brim with essential data! RCL PMT at the end to see the total number of periods involved.

Keystrokes	Display	Keystrokes	Display	Keystrokes	Display
f P/R		g LSTx	12-43 36	+	25- 40
f CLEAR PRGM	00-	ENTER	13- 36	g x =0	26-43 35
RCL	01-45 11	X	14- 20	9 GTO 30	27-43,33 30
PV	02- 13	9 LSTx	15-43 36	R↓	28- 33
RCL 9 CFj	03-45,43 14	+	16- 40	9 GTO 07	29-43,33 07
CLx	04- 35	2	17- 2	i	30- 12
PMT	05- 14	÷	18- 10	RCL PV	31-45 13
ENTER	06- 36	$\left +\right $	19- 40	n	32- 11
RCL PMT	07-45 14	RCL 9 CFj	20-45,43 14	f NPV	33-42 13
RCL PMT	08-45 14	X	21- 20	CHS	34- 16
RCL 9 Nj	09-45,43 15	$\left +\right $	22- 40	%T	35- 23
+	10- 40	RCL	23-45 11	9 GTO 00	36-43,33 00
PMT	11- 14	1	24- 1	f P/R	

The results tend to be better with savings cash flows, rather than loans.

Savings example: 10 payments of \$1,000 accumulate to \$15,000. What is the IRR? We can do this with TVM: 10 n 0 PV 9 BEG 1000 PMT 15000 CHS FV i \rightarrow 7.26. With IRR: 1000 9 CFo 10 9 Ni 15000 CHS 9 CFi f IRR \rightarrow 7.26. We can also now just press R/S with the above program and get 9.09 in about 4 seconds. The IRR takes about 12 seconds.

Loan example: \$7000, is repaid by 10 payments of \$1,000. What is the IRR? With TVM: 10 n 7000CHS PV 9 END 1000PMT 0 FV i \rightarrow 7.07. With IRR: 7000CHS 9 CFo 1000 9 CFi 9 9 Ni 1000 9 CFi f IRR \rightarrow 7.07. Note how we had to split the final 1000 payment out separately for our program to work. This is its only peculiarity. It targets a *single* final value. R/S \rightarrow 12.00.

Perpetuity example: \$5,000 is repaid by 10 payments of \$1,000, plus a final repayment of \$5,000. We know the answer $\langle G \rangle$, but what is the IRR? With TVM: 10 n 5000 CHS PV 9 END1000 PMT 5000 FV i \rightarrow 20.00. With IRR: 5000 CHS 9 CFo 1000 9 CFi 9 9 Ni 6000 9 CFi f IRR \rightarrow 20.00. But $\mathbb{R/S} \rightarrow$ 200.00. This extreme example is designed to show the limitations of the modified Dietz as a *general* initial guess for i. So, interpret the modified Dietz with *great* care. It is quite beyond me how the fund manager TWRR "standard" allows the Dietz to be linked to form a pseudo TWRR.